

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants	: Snodgrass, et al.	Conf. No.: 5273
Appl. No.	: 10/612,529	
Filed	: July 2, 2003	
For	: Predictive Prefetching to Improve Parallelization of Document Generation Subtasks	
Examiner	: Isaac Tuku Tecklu	

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**Mail Stop Amendment**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance paraphrases Claim 1 only, and not the other two independent claims. Applicants wish to clarify that the other two independent claims, nos. 9 and 17, do not require all of the limitations mentioned in the Examiner's statement. For example, Claims 9 and 17 are not limited to methods or systems that use "service calls" or "services requests," and Claim 17 is not limited to document generation systems that generate "pages." Applicants assume the Examiner merely paragraphed Claim 1 for convenience, and did not intend to suggest that Claim 9 or Claim 17 requires any limitation it does not explicitly recite.

If any issues remain following consideration of these comments, the Examiner is invited to call Applicants' representative at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: November 30, 2007

By: /RJS38297/
Ronald J. Schoenbaum
Registration No. 38,297
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20,995
949-721-2950